

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

AUG 27 4 16 PM '92

RECEIVED
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH

ZWEIG REALTY



921 Chatham Lane
Suite 105
Columbus, Ohio 43221
(614) 442-5844 • (614) 486-5844

August 24, 1992

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attention: Docket No. 92-90
1919 "M" Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

REGARDING DOCKET NO. 92-90, TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
OF 1991:

Our company uses cold calling exclusively to secure commercial
real estate listings.

Please do not restrict our ability to use person-to person
telephone solicitation to garner listings.

Such a restriction would almost eliminate our source of
getting business.

Thank-you.

Sincerely,

Carris L. Needles
Zweig Realty *Office Manager*

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

ZWEIG REALTY



921 Chatham Lane
Suite 105
Columbus, Ohio 43221
(614) 442-5844 • (614) 486-5844

August 24, 1992

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attention: Docket No. 92-90
1919 "M" Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

REGARDING DOCKET NO. 92-90, TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
OF 1991:

Our company uses cold calling exclusively to secure commercial
real estate listings.

Please do not restrict our ability to use person-to person
telephone solicitation to garner listings.

Such a restriction would almost eliminate our source of
getting business.

Thank-you.

Sincerely,

Zweig Realty

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

RECEIVED
AUG 27 1992
MAIL BRANCH

ZWEIG REALTY



921 Chatham Lane
Suite 105
Columbus, Ohio 43221
(614) 442-5844 • (614) 486-5844

August 24, 1992

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attention: Docket No. 92-90
1919 "M" Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

REGARDING DOCKET NO. 92-90, TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
OF 1991:


Our company uses cold calling exclusively to secure commercial
real estate listings.

Please do not restrict our ability to use person-to person
telephone solicitation to garner listings.

Such a restriction would almost eliminate our source of
getting business.

Thank-you.

Sincerely,


Zweig Realty



RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH

AUG 27 4 12 PM '92

INFORMATION
ENTREPRENEUR
COMMUNICATIONS
DIVISION

August 24, 1992

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Attn: Docket No. 92-90
1919 M St. N. W.
Washington, D. C. 20554

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in regards to the proposed regulations, Pocket No. 92-90, Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, which would restrict the use of the telephone in my business.

As a licensed realtor for 13 years I feel that person to person solicitations of residential homes is a very important part of my income and business. By limiting the use of one of my most important tools, the telephone, you will be severely limiting my ability to make a living.

At all times when using the telephone I am professional and courteous to the people I am speaking with.

Please be advised that I am against these restrictions and feel that if passed will limit my abilities as a professional.

Thank you,

Larry K. Toombs

RE/MAX suburban - atascocita
8110 fm 1960 east
humble, texas 77346
phone: (713) 852-8900



an independent member broker

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

R

MAIL BRANCH

AUG

4 1992 August 20, 1992

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

To the Attention of the F.C.C.

ENF
COM

VISION
BUREAU

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

My husband and I have been in Real Estate since 1974. Most of our business, I'd say 75% is generated by person-to-person telephone solicitations. We do not use computer-generated solicitations, but we do not object to getting those calls either. We feel that both types of telephone use is very beneficial to us as business people, because we provide valuable information to clients, and prospective clients and by us receiving those types of calls we also receive opportunities we would otherwise not know about. If a person does not wish to talk to us when we call, or receive pre-recorded information, all they have to do is hang up.

Most people have answering machines and they don't even have to take the call if they decide not to.

By implementing Docket NO: 92-90 you will be forcing more unemployment, less business, which will generate less tax revenue.

Why don't you put your efforts where they will count the most and help all the states like California who don't have caller ID. I have had my life threatened and constant hang up calls for hours at a time which kept us from even using our phone, at all times of the day and night. This person is a sick family member and the calls have been made for years. The police and telephone co. can do nothing until we can prove it is him. At least if you implemented Caller ID we could have harassing life threatening calls blocked.

The criminals are allowed to call and harass, but you want to take away our rights as honest tax payers to use

our phone to make a living and help people obtain a home or invest for their future. What about insurance agents, mail order marketing, and even Securities and Exchange Brokers? What about the Police and Fire Dept. calling people about their annual benefits for Charity? What about the people who call and encourage people to vote and offer rides to the polls? What about the newspaper co. and magazine co. who ~~got~~ give great discounts their person-to-person telephone solicitations. What about banks who offer credit cards like A.T. & T. where three members of our family got credit cards & calling cards?

Are you going to stop all these people, end their business and end jobs, just because a few people do not show interest in valuable information that may improve their lives. If these people do not wish to hear the information given to them by phone, all they have to do is hang up! You give the criminal the freedom to use the phone, but you want to take ours away. Is that Freedom of Speech? NO! This looks like just another effort on your part to stomp out the American Dream.

Just remember when people receive a telephone solicitation, they are not having their life threatened, all they have to do is just hang up!

We say Stop Docket NO: 92-90 it will hurt to many people, implement Caller I.D. and help people.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Weiss & Tuffing Weiss
714-628-1437

RECEIVED

AUG 27 4 21 PM '92

INFORMAL COMPLAINTS
BRANCH
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
COMMON CARRIER BUREAU

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

I am writing to endorse
your bill to restrict cold

calling Pocket No. 92-90.

Although I am a Realtor

I do not believe this practice
is crucial to my business
nor do I like receiving these
type calls.

Thank you

Virginia R. Long



Parkview

250 S. Main St.
P. O. Box 716
Payette, Idaho 83661
Business (208) 642-4026
Fax (208) 642-2676

RECEIVED

AUG 27 4 11 PM '92

INFORMAL COMPLAINTS
DIVISION
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
COMMUNICATIONS BUREAU

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH

August 22, 1991

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Sir/Madame:

I am writing to give my opinion on Docket No. 92-90 Telephone Consumer Act of 1991. I used the phone as part of my farming for Real Estate. I have used this method for 4 years and it has giving me over 60% of my listings. I would say 95% of the people I contact do not find this type of phone calling objectable. Please keep the phone calling available to us realtors.

Sincerely,

Linda Carver, GRI
Agent/Realtor

Corporate Service Center

3325 66th Street North, St. Petersburg, FL 33710 (813) 381-2345

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH



- ☐ BRANDON
910 Lithia-Pinecrest Road
Brandon, FL 33511
(813) 685-5633
- ☐ CLEARWATER BEACH
333 Gulfview Blvd., S.
Clearwater, FL 34630
(813) 443-3320
- ☐ GREATER CLEARWATER
2340 Main Street
Dunedin, FL 34698
(813) 736-2221
- ☐ KENNEDY
3816 West Linebaugh #212
Tampa, FL 33624
(813) 264-5067
- ☐ NEW PORT RICHEY
4219 U.S. Hwy. 19
New Port Richey, FL 34652
(813) 842-2500
- ☐ NORTHEAST
7601 9th Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33702
(813) 381-2345
- ☐ PASADENA
875 Pasadena Avenue South
St. Petersburg, FL 33707
(813) 381-2345
- ☐ ST. PETERSBURG BEACH
6505 Gulf Blvd.
St. Petersburg Beach, FL 33706
(813) 360-2727
- ☐ SEMINOLE
7815 Seminole Blvd.
Seminole, FL 34642
(813) 392-3776
- ☐ TAMPA CENTER
10047 N. Dale Mabry Hwy.
Tampa, FL 33618
(813) 962-3888
- ☐ TREASURE ISLAND
157 107th Avenue
Treasure Island, FL 33706
(813) 360-2755
- ☐ ACCOUNTING & DATA PROCESSING
701 58th Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33710
- ☐ RELOCATION SERVICE CENTER
701 58th Street North
St. Petersburg, FL 33710

August 24, 1992

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communication Commission
Attn: Docket No. 92-90
1919 M Street NW
Washington, DC 20554

RE: Docket No. 92-90
Telephone Consumer Protection/Act of 1991

Dear Secretary,

Real Estate Practitioners are suffering with a slow recovery from a recession, vast changes in our profession, a litigious society, and new rules and regulations.

We do not need another restriction that could be devastating. Please do not take away the right of person to person solicitation. There are minimal complaints on person to person calling.

I appreciate your consideration of my requests. It effects 500 sales associates of mine, 70,000 members of the Florida Association of Realtors, and 750,000 National Association Of Realtors.

Very truly yours,

Marie Powell
President

cc: Mr. Roy DeLoach
National Association of Realtors
777 14th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

INFORMATION CENTERS

Countryside Mall - Clearwater
Desoto Square Mall - Bradenton
Gateway Mall - St. Petersburg
Gulfview Square Mall - Port Richey
Tyrone Square Mall - St. Petersburg
University Square Mall - Tampa



**Above
the
Crowd!®**

RECEIVED

AUG 27 4 11 PM

August 19, 1992

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
ON
ENFORCEMENT OF
COMMERCIAL LAW

RECEIVED

AUG 27 1992

MAIL BRANCH

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
1919 M Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

SEP 18 1992

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Re: Docket No. 92-90 Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991

Dear Mr Secretary:

I'm writing to you because of my concern over the Federal Communications Commission drafting regulations to implement the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991. These regulations restrict the use of automatic dialing systems and requires the FCC to consider restrictions on person-to-person solicitations of residential homes.

As a full time Realtor, a key part of my business, and the residential real estate business in general, involves using the telephone for person-to-person solicitations. "Cold calling" is a very important element in generating business by locating prospective buyers and sellers who would benefit from the services I offer. Restrictions on my ability to make person-to-person telephone solicitations would definitely affect how I make my living, and thus, my earning power.

Your consideration in this behalf would be very much appreciated.

Sincerely,

Linda Hazelwood

Linda Hazelwood
Broker-Associate

RE/MAX suburban - atascocita
8110 fm 1960 east
humble, texas 77346
phone: (713) 852-8900



an independent member broker